## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case: 2:25-cr-20120

Assigned To: Hood, Denise Page Referral Judge: Stafford, Elizabeth A.

Assign. Date: 2/28/2025

Description: INFO USA V. LIN (AB)

Shan-Chuen "Henry" Lin,

V.

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 2314

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_/

## **INFORMATION**

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

## **COUNT ONE**

(18 U.S.C. § 2314 – Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property)

Between on or about the 9th day of October 2021, through November 2021, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, Shan-Chuen "Henry" Lin, did unlawfully transport, transmit, and transfer in interstate commerce from the Metropolitan Detroit area in the State of Michigan, to Los Angeles, State of California, stolen goods, wares and merchandise, that is, a power converter new product development program belonging to a Victim Company based in the Eastern District of Michigan, of the value of \$5,000 or more, knowing the same to have been stolen in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2314.

## **FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS**

(18 U.S.C. § 981 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461)

- 1. The allegations contained in Count One of this Information are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) together with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.
- 2. Upon conviction of the offense charged in Count One of this Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2314, defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) together with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.
- 3. <u>Substitute Assets</u>: If the property described above as being subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of defendant:
  - (a) Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - (b) Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - (c) Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - (d) Has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - (e) Has been commingled with other property that cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, to seek to forfeit any other property of defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property described above.

JULIE A. BECK

Acting United States Attorney

Mark Chasteen

Chief White Collar Crime Unit

Dated: 2/28/25

Patrick E. Corbett

Assistant United States Attorney

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Sheet		Case Number: 2:25-cr-20120
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. A	Attorney signing this form to co	mplete it accurately	r in all respects.
Companion Case Information		Companion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:		Judge Assigned:	
☐ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials: s/P.C.	
Case Title: USA v. Shan-Ch	uen "Henry" Lin		
County where offense occu	rred : Oakland		
Check One: ⊠Felony	□Mise	demeanor	□Petty
Indictment/Info	_	prior complaint	[Case number: ] omplete Superseding section below].
Superseding to Case No: Judge:			
Corrects errors; no additi Involves, for plea purpose Embraces same subject	es, different charges or a	idds counts.	ts or charges below:
Defendant name	Cha	rges	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.	listed Assistant Unit	ed States Att	orney is the attorney of record for
February 28, 2025	s/Patrick E	s/Patrick E. Corbett	
Date	Patrick E. Corbett Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226-3277 Phone:313-226-9703		

Attorney Bar #: P41182

E-Mail address: patrick.corbett@usdoj.gov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.